UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,950	02/13/2004	Sanjay Kaniyar	13768.491	9155
22913 WORKMAN N	7590 02/13/200 IYDEGGER	8	EXAMINER	
60 EAST SOUT			MCNALLY, MICHAEL S	
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/779,950	KANIYAR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael S. McNally	2136				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) UD THIDTA (30) DVA				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Fe	ebruary 2004.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20040213, 20050329</u> . 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-40 are presented for examination.

2. The claims and only the claims form the metes and bounds of the invention.

"Office personnel are to give claims their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the supporting disclosure. In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44 USPQ2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Limitations appearing in the specification but not recited in the claim are not read into the claim. In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-551 (CCPA 1969)" (MPEP p 2100-8, c 2, I 45-48; p 2100-9, c 1, I 1-4). The

Examiner has full latitude to interpret each claim in the broadest reasonable sense. The Examiner will reference prior art using terminology familiar to one of ordinary skill in the art. Such an approach is broad in concept and can be either explicit or implicit in

meaning.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 13 February 2004 and 29 March 2005 have been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/779,950

Art Unit: 2136

5. Claims 1-2, 4-8, 10-12, 14-18, 20-22, 24-28, 30-32, 34-38 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Network working Groups, request for Comments 1948, "Defending against Sequence Number Attacks" by *Bellovin*.

Page 3

As to claims 1 and 21, *Bellovin* teaches: In a local server that receives data from one or more remote clients over a data transport protocol (*Bellovin*: Page 2, Lines 1-5; client talking to server using a TCP connection), a method/computer program product of generating an initial sequence number for use by a remote client when assigning sequence numbers to one or more data packets to be sent to the local server (*Bellovin*: Page 3, Lines 22-24), the initial sequence number generated in a manner that prevents the local server from being attacked while maintaining reliable data transfer (*Bellovin*: Page 4, Lines 1-3; Compute F in such a way that it cannot be guessed by discovering other initial sequence numbers), the method comprising the acts of:

generating a random input key using arbitrary information maintained secret by the local server (*Bellovin*: Page 4, Lines 3-13; F value generated based on a per-host secret);

receiving a connection identifier key that includes connection information for at least the remote client (*Bellovin*: Page 3, Lines 37-38; 4-tupe of <localhost, localport, remotehost, remoteport> used to compute F);

securely initializing a hash function with at least a portion of the random input key and at least a portion of the connection identifier key for determining an intermediate value of an initial sequence number (*Bellovin*: Page 4, Lines 3-5; F is a hash of connection id and secret data);

creating a monotonically increasing counter (*Bellovin*: Page 2, Lines 25-27, Counter M) for ensuring that a same connection identifier does not have data collisions from competing sequence numbers within a predetermined period of time (*Bellovin*: Page 3, Lines 23-25; prevent stale packets from being accepted by new incarnation f same connection), and for ensuring randomness of the initial sequence number on a per connection basis for preventing attacks on the local server (*Bellovin*: Page 2, Lines 25-32, insufficient randomness leads to attacks);

incrementing the counter a fixed value based on a passage of a predetermined time period (*Bellovin*: Page 2, Lines 26-30, M incremented either 1 every 4 microsecond in one implementation or by a constant per second in another);

incrementing the counter a variable amount depending upon a rate of connections with the local server, wherein if the rate of connections is beyond a threshold value the variable increment is based on an elapsed time, otherwise the variable increment is based on each connection established with the local server (*Bellovin:* Page 2, Lines 28-30; under Berkeley implementation, the counter is implemented by a constant for every connection. The threshold value for Berkeley would be when the rate of the number of connections cannot increase due to system considerations, at that point the counter would be applied on an elapsed time, i.e. How long it takes to establish a connection, rather than on a per connection basis); and

combining the intermediate value, the fixed value and the variable amount for generating the initial sequence number (*Bellovin*: Page 3-4; ISN computed using M + F

(localhost, localport, remotehost, remoteport), where M = fixed + variable from above and F is the intermediate value from the 4-tuple as calculated above)

As to claims 11 and 31, *Bellovin* teaches: In a local server that receives data from one or more remote clients over a data transport protocol (*Bellovin*: Page 2, Lines 1-5; client talking to server using a TCP connection), a method/computer program product of generating an initial sequence number for use by a remote client when assigning sequence numbers to one or more data packets to be sent to the local server (*Bellovin*: Page 3, Lines 22-24), the initial sequence number generated in a manner that prevents the local server from being attacked while maintaining reliable data transfer (*Bellovin*: Page 4, Lines 1-3; Compute F in such a way that it cannot be guessed by discovering other initial sequence numbers), the method comprising the acts of:

determining an intermediate value of an initial sequence number by hashing a random input key and a connection identifier key, which includes connection information for at least the remote client, the random input key being generated using arbitrary information maintained secret by the local server (*Bellovin*: Page 4, Lines 3-5; F is a hash of connection id and secret data from server);

ensuring that a same connection identifier does not have data collisions from competing sequence numbers within a predetermined period of time (*Bellovin*: Page 3, Lines 23-25; prevent stale packets from being accepted by new incarnation f same connection), and ensuring randomness of the initial sequence number on a per connection basis for preventing attacks on the local server by monotonically incrementing a counter both a fixed value based on a passage of a predetermined time

Art Unit: 2136

period and a variable amount depending upon a rate of connections with the local server (*Bellovin*: Page 3, Lines 25-32; one constant per time interval and a second per connection), wherein if the rate of connections is beyond a threshold value the variable increment is based on an elapsed time, otherwise the variable increment is based on each connection established with the local server (*Bellovin*: Page 2, Lines 28-30; under Berkeley implementation, the counter is implemented by a constant for every connection. The threshold value for Berkeley would be when the rate of the number of connections cannot increase due to system considerations, at that point the counter would be applied on an elapsed time, i.e. How long it takes to establish a connection, rather than on a per connection basis); and

generating the initial sequence number by combining the intermediate value, the fixed value and the variable amount. (*Bellovin*: Page 3-4; ISN computed using M + F (localhost, localport, remotehost, remoteport), where M = fixed + variable from above and F is the intermediate value from the 4-tuple as calculated above).

As to **claims 2**, **12**, **22 and 32**, *Bellovin* further teaches wherein if the rate of connections is below the threshold value, the fixed value is further incremented based on each connection established with the local server (*Bellovin*: Page 2, Lines 25-32).

As to **claims 4, 14, 24 and 34**, *Bellovin* further teaches wherein the connection identifier key further includes connection information for one or more of the local server port, local server routing address, remote port and remote routing address (*Bellovin*: Page 3, Lines 37-38; 4-tuple of <localhost, localport, remotehost, remoteport> used to compute F).

Art Unit: 2136

As to **claims 5, 15, 25 and 35**, *Bellovin* further teaches wherein the data transport protocol is Transmission Control Protocol (*Bellovin*: Page 2, Lines 1-3), and wherein the local and remote routing addresses are Internet Protocol addresses (*Bellovin*: Page 4, Lines 9, 16).

As to **claims 6**, **16**, **26 and 36**, *Bellovin* further teaches wherein at least a second connection is made between the local server and a second remote client (*Bellovin*: Page 3, Lines 35-42), and wherein the method further including the acts of:

receiving a second connection identifier key that includes connection information for at least the second remote client (*Bellovin*: Page 3, Lines 35-42);

securely initializing the hash function with at least a portion of the random input key and at least a portion of the second connection identifier key for determining a second intermediate value of a second initial sequence number (*Bellovin*: Page 4, Lines 3-5; F is a hash of connection id and secret data);

based on at least a portion of the second connection identifier key (*Bellovin*: Page 3, Lines 35-42, each 4-tuple gets its own number space), creating a second monotonically increasing counter (*Bellovin*: Page 2, Lines 25-27, Counter M) for ensuring that a same connection identifier does not have data collisions from competing sequence numbers within a predetermined period of time (*Bellovin*: Page 3, Lines 23-25; prevent stale packets from being accepted by new incarnation f same connection), and for ensuring randomness of the initial sequence number on a per connection basis for preventing attacks on the local server (*Bellovin*: Page 2, Lines 25-32, insufficient randomness leads to attacks);

Art Unit: 2136

incrementing the second counter the fixed value based on the passage of the predetermined time period (*Bellovin*: Page 2, Lines 26-30, M incremented either 1 every 4 microsecond in one implementation or by a constant per second in another);

incrementing the second counter a second variable amount depending upon a rate of connections with the local server and for those connections associated with the second counter, wherein if the rate of connections with the local server and for those connections associated with the second counter is beyond a threshold value the variable increment is based on an elapsed time, otherwise the variable increment is based on each connection established with the local server and associated with the second counter (*Bellovin:* Page 2, Lines 28-30; under Berkeley implementation, the counter is implemented by a constant for every connection. The threshold value for Berkeley would be when the rate of the number of connections cannot increase due to system considerations, at that point the counter would be applied on an elapsed time, i.e. How long it takes to establish a connection, rather than on a per connection basis); and

combining the second intermediate value, the fixed value and the second variable amount for generating the second initial sequence number (*Bellovin*: Page 3-4; ISN computed using M + F(localhost, localport, remotehost, remoteport), where M = fixed + variable from above and F is the intermediate value from the 4-tuple as calculated above).

As to **claims 7**, **17**, **27 and 37**, *Bellovin* further teaches wherein the arbitrary information maintained as a secret by the local server is based on timing, state

conditions for the local server, or both, at boot up time of the local server, which include one or more of a time of day, a day of month, a month, a year, a local server hard drive head position, and whether input was detected by hardware of the local server (*Bellovin*: Page 4, Lines 7-9; Boot time of the machine used in secret data, which necessarily includes at least one of the time of day, month or year if not all).

As to **claims 8, 18, 28 and 38**, *Bellovin* further teaches wherein the combining of the intermediate value, the fixed value and the variable amount is a mathematical operation or function (*Bellovin*: Page 3, Line 50 ISN = M + F (addition)).

As to **claims 10, 20, 30 and 40**, *Bellovin* further teaches, wherein the monotonically increasing counter is shared by at least two connections at the same time (*Bellovin*: Page 2, Lines 28-30, counter used by all connections to server, as each new connection increments it).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Art Unit: 2136

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. Claims 3 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Network working Groups, request for Comments 1948, "Defending against Sequence Number Attacks" by *Bellovin* in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0187788 to *McKay*.

As to **claims 3 and 33**, *Bellovin* discloses all recited limitations of claims 1 and 31 from which claims 3 and 33 depend respectively.

Bellovin does not expressly disclose wherein based on the fixed value, if a remote client's data transfer rate while connected to the local server is less than a specified byte rate then the connection identifier used by the remote client the is allowed immediate re-connection to the local server after the remote client disconnects.

McKay discloses wherein based on the fixed value, if a remote client's data transfer rate while connected to the local server is less than a specified byte rate then the connection identifier used by the remote client the is allowed immediate reconnection to the local server after the remote client disconnects (McKay: Fig 6; Page 1, Sec 7 and Page 3-4, Sec 27-33; when service degrades below and acceptable level and the user is disconnected, the connection is re-established).

Bellovin and McKay are analogous art because they are from the art of networks.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to allow connections without the possibility of collision the ability to reconnect.

The rationale would have been to reduce network disruptions (*McKay*: Page 1, Sec 2)

Art Unit: 2136

9. Claims 9, 19, 29 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Network working Groups, request for Comments 1948, "Defending against Sequence Number Attacks" by *Bellovin* in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0083175 to *Afek et al*.

As to **claims 9, 19, 29 and 33**, *Bellovin* discloses all recited limitations of claims 1, 11, 20 and 30 from which claims 9, 19, 29 and 39 depend respectively. *Bellovin* additionally discloses wherein if the rate of connections is beyond the threshold value the variable increments up to an amount of 0x000022FB every millisecond (*Bellovin*: Page 2, Lines 26-30),

Bellovin does not expressly disclose otherwise the variable increment is an amount between 16 K and 32 K.

Afek discloses otherwise the variable increment is an amount between 16 K and 32 K (Afek, Fig 4B).

Bellovin and McKay are analogous art because they are from the art of networks.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to use variable increments depending on threshold values. The rationale would have been that it is obvious to combine these known elements to yield the predictable result of the instant application. *Bellovin* and *Afek* in combination contained all of the elements required for claims 9, 19, 29 and 39, however, the difference being that neither *Bellovin* nor *Afek* combined said elements. One of ordinary skill in the art could have combined the elements present in *Belovin* and *Afek* with the knowledge that in combination, said elements would have

Art Unit: 2136

performed the same functions that they did separately. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable. In fact, for one of ordinary skill in the art of software systems development, there would have been a reliance on the fact that the results of the combination would be predictable.

10. Claims 13 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Network working Groups, request for Comments 1948, "Defending against Sequence Number Attacks" by *Bellovin* in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0187788 to *McKay* and U.S. Patent No. 3,728,535 to *Dickman et al.*

As to **claims 13 and 23**, *Bellovin* discloses all recited limitations of claims 11 and 21 from which claims 13 and 23 depend respectively.

Bellovin does not expressly wherein the fixed value is 25.6 K (*Dickman*: Col 7, Line 8), and wherein if a remote client's data transfer rate while connected to the local server is less than 256 K then the connection identifier used by the remote client the is allowed immediate re-connection to the local server after the remote client disconnects (*McKay*: Fig 6; Page 1, Sec 7 and Page 3-4, Sec 27-33).

Dickman discloses wherein the fixed value is 25.6 K (Dickman: Col 7, Line 8).

McKay discloses wherein if a remote client's data transfer rate while connected to the local server is less than 256 K then the connection identifier used by the remote

client the is allowed immediate re-connection to the local server after the remote client disconnects (*McKay*: Fig 6; Page 1, Sec 7 and Page 3-4, Sec 27-33).

Bellovin, McKay and Dickman are analogous art because they are from the art of networks.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to allow connections operating at various connection speeds without the possibility of collision the ability to reconnect. The rationale would have been to reduce network disruptions (*McKay*: Page 1, Sec 2).

Prior Art

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent Application Publication 2001/0042200 by Lamberton et al discloses generating Initial Sequence Numbers in such a way as to avoid flooding attacks (*Lamberton:* Fig 6B).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael S. McNally whose telephone number is (571)270-1599. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00 - 5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on (571)272-4195. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2136

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MSM

7 February 2008

/Nasser G Moazzami/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2136